

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

the public is entitled to whatever advantage may be derived from competition in the subsequent traffic."

Policeman Have Rights .-- One dark night a policeman, while patrolling his beat, noticed a door partly open. After summoning a fellow policeman, he pushed open the door, stepped through the doorway into the unlighted and dark interior, and was suddenly precipitated to the bottom of an unguarded freight elevator shaft. He died from the injuries received. His widow, alleging negligence in not having the shaft properly guarded as provided for by statute, brought suit to recover damages. Defendant contended that it owed no duty to a policeman. The Court of Appeals of New York in Racine v. Morris, 94 Northwestern Reporter, 864, holds that, as policemen are required at all times of the day and night to protect the rights of persons and property, intestate was lawfully in the building in discharge of his duties; and, since the statute in question was enacted for the protection of all persons lawfully upon the premises of another, the duty imposed by the statute to guard elevator shafts was for intestate's benefit, and defendant was liable for failure to comply therewith.

Etiquette Is Fatal.—Etiquette, we know, is prescribed for, and required by, good breeding, to be observed in social or official life; but since the case of State v. Flanakin, 54 Southern Reporter, 940. we are left in doubt as to the propriety of conventional decorum with a jury. Defendant was tried for murder, and the polite jury brought in a verdict reading as follows: "We, your jury, beg leave to return a verdict of manslaughter." Defendant filed a motion in arrest of judgment on the ground that the jury had failed to find him guilty or not guilty, and had brought in no verdict which would afford a sufficient basis for exception. The motion being denied, an appeal was taken. The Supreme Court of Louisiana holds that the motion should have been sustained. The court says: "The jury was expected by its verdict to answer the question, 'Is the accused guilty or not guilty?" and it has not answered it." The result might have been different had the judge been equally polite, and answered their "beg leave to" with a kind, "Yes, sirs; you may," and then received the verdict.